

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 SUSAN A. PRICE,

11 Plaintiff,

2:04-cv-1235-GEB-DAD-PS

12 vs.

13 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF
14 CORRECTIONS, CORRECTIONAL
TRAINING FACILITY, SOLEDAD,

15 Defendant.

ORDER

16
17 Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, filed the above-entitled action. The matter was
18 referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 72-302(c)(21).

19 On March 21, 2006, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
20 herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any
21 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within ten days. Plaintiff has
22 filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

23 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-
24 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire
25 file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by
26 proper analysis.

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. The findings and recommendations filed March 21, 2006, are adopted in full;

3 and

4 2. Defendant's motion for summary judgment is granted and this action is

5 dismissed.

6 Dated: May 1, 2006

7
8 /s/ Garland E. Burrell, Jr.
9 GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.
10 United States District Judge
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26